

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,059	01/14/2002 7590 10/26/2004		Earl J. Votolato	019502.0015US1	3514
34284				EXAMINER	
ROBERT D.			LINDSEY, RODNEY M		
RUTAN & TU			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
COSTA MES			3765		

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 /				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\bigcup				
		10/047,059	VOTOLATO, EARL J					
Office Action Sum	mary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Rodney M. Lindsey	3765					
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less	OMMUNICATION. ne provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period w riod for reply will, by statute, ree months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a now within the statutory minimum of thirthing and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on <u>07 O</u>	<u>ctober 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in	condition for allowar	ice except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with t	he practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 14</u> is/are _l	pending in the applic	cation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allow								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 14</u> is/are i	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 14</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to	Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers			,					
9)⊠ The specification is objected	I to by the Examine	•.						
•	☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2002</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s	including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is ol	pjected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made or a) All b) Some * c) N 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified application from the I * See the attached detailed Of	one of: e priority documents e priority documents d copies of the prior nternational Bureau	have been received. have been received in Apity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
	action for a list (
Attachment(s)		_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 	Review (PTO-049)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date			formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: basis should be provided for the four flat side walls as set forth in claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Green. Green shows an open utensil/tongs comprising joined pockets at 26, 27 formed from a continuous piece of flexible material 2, the pockets sized to receive the fingers and thumb of a hand (see column 9, lines 65-67) and having closed ends with opposable flattened surfaces near the ends (see Figures 2, 9). Product-by-process claim 1 although reciting features in terms of how they are made (molding) is still a product claim, and it is the patentability of the product and not the process steps (molding) that must be determined. With respect to claim 3 inherently the utensil of Green will have a color thus meeting the limitation of color coded as claimed. With respect to claim 5 inherently the utensil of Green will have a color. The requirement that the color indicate a task is not seen to set forth any structure of utensil not taught by Green or any function of the utensil not inherent in Green. With respect to claim 14 note the symmetry of the utensil of Green.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green in view of Jones. Green teaches the tongs having memory (see column 11, line 11) and the pockets joined at a hinge (see Figure 2), but does not teach forming the tongs of plastic. With respect to claims 2 and 4 note the use of plastic by Jones (see column 3, lines 12-15) or the use of paper by Jones (see column 3, line 13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the tongs of Green of the plastic of Jones to achieve the advantage of employing an alternative material capable of effecting a like result of flexibility and disposability.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed October 7, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Contrary to applicant's remarks Green at column 9, lines 65-67 clearly specifies that the thumb be received in one pocket while the remaining fingers be received in the other pocket. The rejection of claims 1-5 and 14 ably set forth above is deemed proper in all respects.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059

Art Unit: 3765

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney M. Lindsey whose telephone number is (703) 305-7818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (703) 305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney M. Lindsey Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765

rml